

Exhibit 300 (BY2009)

| PART ONE | |
|--|-----------------------------|
| OVERVIEW | |
| 1. Date of Submission: | 2007-06-01 |
| 2. Agency: | 015 |
| 3. Bureau: | 10 |
| 4. Name of this Capital Asset: | Secure Payment System (SPS) |
| 5. Unique Project Identifier: | 015-10-01-14-01-1480-00 |
| 6. What kind of investment will this be in FY2009? | |
| Operations and Maintenance | |
| 7. What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB? | |
| FY2001 or earlier | |
| 8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap. | |
| <p>The Financial Management Service is required by law to issue government payments. In order to perform this function, FMS is required to receive a request properly signed by a designated officer (Certifying Officer) of the requesting agency. The application used to perform this function previously was the Electronic Certification System (ECS). ECS required encryption boards which were compatible only with DOS based 486 generation personal computers. The 486 generation personal computers and DOS operating system were obsolete and not supported by any manufacturer before calendar 2000. In order to run ECS and provide the agencies the payment certification capability, FMS and agencies had to maintain an inventory of obsolete equipment to provide replacement whenever a personal computer broke down. The Secure Payment System was developed and implemented to replace ECS and to provide an application that could interact with current and future technologies.</p> | |
| 9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request? | |
| yes | |
| 9.a. If "yes," what was the date of this approval? | |
| 2007-08-16 | |
| 10. Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit? | |
| yes | |
| 11. Project Manager Name: | |
| Michael Berdak | |
| Project Manager Phone: | |
| | |
| Project Manager Email: | |
| | |
| 11.a. What is the current FAC-P/PM certification level of the project/program manager? | |
| TBD | |
| 12. Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project. | |
| yes | |
| 12.a. Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)? | |
| yes | |
| 12.b. Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable to non-IT assets only) | |
| no | |

| | |
|--|----|
| 13. Does this investment directly support one of the PMA initiatives? | |
| yes | |
| If yes, select the initiatives that apply: | |
| Eliminating Improper Payments | |
| Expanded E-Government | |
| Financial Performance | |
| 13.a. Briefly and specifically describe for each selected how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s)? (e.g. If E-Gov is selected, is it an approved shared service provider or the managing partner?) | |
| SPS expands the use of the Internet and promotes E-authentication through the use of digital signatures. SPS assures that payments are authorized, made correctly and on a timely basis. | |
| 14. Does this investment support a program assessed using the Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)? | |
| yes | |
| 14.a. If yes, does this investment address a weakness found during the PART review? | |
| no | |
| 14.b. If yes, what is the name of the PARTed program? | |
| Financial Management Service Payments | |
| 14.c. If yes, what rating did the PART receive? | |
| Effective | |
| 15. Is this investment for information technology? | |
| yes | |
| 16. What is the level of the IT Project (per CIO Council's PM Guidance)? | |
| Level 3 | |
| 17. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per CIO Council's PM Guidance) | |
| (1) Project manager has been validated as qualified for this investment | |
| 18. Is this investment identified as high risk on the Q4 - FY 2007 agency high risk report (per OMB memorandum M-05-23)? | |
| yes | |
| 19. Is this a financial management system? | |
| yes | |
| 19.a. If yes, does this investment address a FFIA compliance area? | |
| yes | |
| 19.a.1. If yes, which compliance area: | |
| Standard General Ledger at the Transaction Level, Financial Systems Requirements and Accounting Standards | |
| 19.b. If yes, please identify the system name(s) and system acronym(s) as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory update required by Circular A11 section 52. | |
| Secure Payment System (SPS) | |
| 20. What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2008 funding request for the following? (This should total 100%) | |
| Hardware | 1 |
| Software | 0 |
| Services | 91 |
| Other | 8 |
| 21. If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities? | |
| n/a | |
| 22. Contact information of individual responsible for privacy related questions. | |

| |
|---|
| <i>Name</i> |
| Peter Genova |
| <i>Phone Number</i> |
| |
| <i>Title</i> |
| Sr. Official for Privacy |
| <i>Email</i> |
| |
| 23. Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval? |
| yes |
| 24. Does this investment directly support one of the GAO High Risk Areas? |
| no |

SUMMARY OF SPEND

1. Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table. All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated Government FTE Cost, and should be excluded from the amounts shown for Planning, Full Acquisition, and Operation/Maintenance. The total estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for Planning, Full Acquisition, and Operation/Maintenance. For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.

All amounts represent Budget Authority

| | PY-1 & Earlier | PY | CY |
|--|----------------|-------|-------|
| | -2006 | 2007 | 2008 |
| Planning Budgetary Resources | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Acquisition Budgetary Resources | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.933 |
| Maintenance Budgetary Resources | 17.734 | 3.663 | 3.430 |
| Government FTE Cost | 8.776 | 1.857 | 2.191 |
| # of FTEs | 11 | 11 | 11 |

Note: For the cross-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing partner and partner agencies).

Government FTE Costs should not be included as part of the TOTAL represented.

2. Will this project require the agency to hire additional FTE's?

no

3. If the summary of spending has changed from the FY2008 President's budget request, briefly explain those changes.

Rebaseline submitted 7/26/2007 1. To Enhance the Secure Payment System (SPS): SPS is the entry point for Federal Government payments. The Government-wide Accounting (GWA) program will utilize SPS to capture the Treasury Account Symbol (TAS) and the Business Event Type Code (BETC) from FPA payment transactions. The Proposed New Baseline reflects the costs associated with the changes SPS will be making on behalf of GWA. This enhancement is estimated to cost \$6,502,853 over the next 2 years with the work being conducted by both Government and contractor personnel. This is a re-categorization of costs for SPS to reflect the GWA work rather than an increase in the life cycle cost. As a result of this enhancement, the lifecycle status of SPS is also being modified from Steady State to Mixed. 2. To Acknowledge all Known Lifecycle Costs: (a) On April 14, 2006, responsible FMS Assistant Commissioners were informed that existing Government FTE resources could not fully support the SPS project as needed. A determination was made to continue full applicable maintenance support by contractors rather than discontinuing contractor support as originally planned. The Proposed New Baseline reflects continuance of full contractor support services from FY08 through FY14. (b) The Proposed New Baseline also includes the cost of Government FTE development staff that was not previously captured

in the existing OMB-Approved Baseline. These personnel maintain the SPS off-line application used by the Federal Program Agencies (FPAs). (c) SPS has requested that its Federal Reserve Bank (FRB) partner provide testing assistance. The Proposed New Baseline now includes these FRB-related costs. (d) The Proposed New Baseline reflects a reduction to future planned travel funding. 3. To Extend the Existing SPS Baseline by 2 years. The impact of this Proposed New Baseline will have no impact on the overall scope or schedule. The only impact on contracts is the one described in 2(a) above and the only impact on Government FTE are those described in 1 and 2(b) above.

PERFORMANCE

In order to successfully address this area of the exhibit 300, performance goals must be provided for the agency and be linked to the annual performance plan. The investment must discuss the agency's mission and strategic goals, and performance measures (indicators) must be provided. These goals need to map to the gap in the agency's strategic goals and objectives this investment is designed to fill. They are the internal and external performance benefits this investment is expected to deliver to the agency (e.g., improve efficiency by 60 percent, increase citizen participation by 300 percent a year to achieve an overall citizen participation rate of 75 percent by FY 2xxx, etc.). The goals must be clearly measurable investment outcomes, and if applicable, investment outputs. They do not include the completion date of the module, milestones, or investment, or general goals, such as, significant, better, improved that do not have a quantitative measure.

Agencies must use the following table to report performance goals and measures for the major investment and use the Federal Enterprise Architecture (FEA) Performance Reference Model (PRM). Map all Measurement Indicators to the corresponding Measurement Area and Measurement Grouping identified in the PRM. There should be at least one Measurement Indicator for each of the four different Measurement Areas (for each fiscal year). The PRM is available at www.egov.gov. The table can be extended to include performance measures for years beyond FY 2009.

| | Fiscal Year | Strategic Goal Supported | Measurement Area | Measurement Grouping | Measurement Indicator | Baseline | Planned Improvement to the Baseline | Actual Results |
|----------|--------------------|---|------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| 1 | 2007 | Manage the U.S. Government's Finances Effectively | Mission and Business Results | Payments | SPS is the corner stone of the payment process. Payments are created, certified, and submitted for processing. Percentage of payments made on time. | 99.8% payments made on time. | 100% of payments made on time. | TBD - Data will be available 4th qtr |
| 2 | 2007 | Manage the U.S. Government's Finances Effectively | Customer Results | Customer Satisfaction | Determine customer satisfaction of all Regional Financial Centers. Results determining satisfaction are measured by an annual survey during the 2nd Qtr of the fiscal year. | No baseline from previous year. | 80% of overall customer satisfaction | 91% of overall customer satisfaction. |
| 3 | 2007 | Manage the U.S. Government's Finances Effectively | Processes and Activities | Security | Measure the number significant incidents reported. Significant incidents are defined in the SPS Incident Response Plan. These incidents can come in | 100% of incidents reported are not significant. | 100% of incidents reported are not significant | TBD |

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|------|---|------------------------------|-----------------------|---|---|--|-----|
| | | | | | the form of some type of security attack. The goal is to have no significant incidents. | | | |
| 4 | 2007 | Manage the U.S. Government's Finances Effectively | Technology | Availability | Measure the amount of time (In hours) that the SPS application was not available during non-scheduled hours. | No baseline from previous year. | SPS available 80% of the time. | TBD |
| 5 | 2008 | Manage the U.S. Government's Finances Effectively | Mission and Business Results | Payments | SPS is the corner stone of the payment process. Payments are created, certified, and submitted for processing. Percentage of payments made on time. | 100% of payments made on time. | 100% of payments made on time. | TBD |
| 6 | 2008 | Manage the U.S. Government's Finances Effectively | Customer Results | Customer Satisfaction | Determine customer satisfaction of all Regional Financial Centers. Results determining satisfaction are measured by an annual survey during the 2nd Qtr of the fiscal year. | 91% of overall customer satisfaction. | 91% of overall customer satisfaction. | TBD |
| 7 | 2008 | Manage the U.S. Government's Finances Effectively | Processes and Activities | Security | Measure the number significant incidents reported. Significant incidents are defined in the SPS Incident Response Plan. These incidents can come in the form of some type of security attack. The goal is to have no significant incidents. | 100% of incidents reported are not significant. | 100% of incidents reported are not significant | TBD |
| 8 | 2008 | Manage the U.S. | Technology | Availability | Measure the amount of time | No baseline from | SPS available 80% of the | TBD |

| | | | | | | | | |
|----|------|---|------------------------------|-----------------------|---|---|--|-----|
| | | Government's Finances Effectively | | | (In hours) that the SPS application was not available during non-scheduled hours. | previous year. | time. | |
| 9 | 2009 | Manage the U.S. Government's Finances Effectively | Mission and Business Results | Payments | SPS is the corner stone of the payment process. Payments are created, certified, and submitted for processing. Percentage of payments made on time. | 100% of payments made on time. | 100% of payments made on time. | TBD |
| 10 | 2009 | Manage the U.S. Government's Finances Effectively | Customer Results | Customer Satisfaction | Determine customer satisfaction of all Regional Financial Centers. Results determining satisfaction are measured by an annual survey during the 2nd Qtr of the fiscal year. | 91% of overall customer satisfaction. | 91% of overall customer satisfaction. | TBD |
| 11 | 2009 | Manage the U.S. Government's Finances Effectively | Processes and Activities | Security | Measure the number significant incidents reported. Significant incidents are defined in the SPS Incident Response Plan. These incidents can come in the form of some type of security attack. The goal is to have no significant incidents. | 100% of incidents reported are not significant. | 100% of incidents reported are not significant | TBD |
| 12 | 2009 | Manage the U.S. Government's Finances Effectively | Technology | Availability | Measure the amount of time (In hours) that the SPS application was not available during non-scheduled hours. | No baseline from previous year. | SPS available 80% of the time. | TBD |
| 13 | 2010 | Manage the U.S. | Mission and Business | Payments | SPS is the corner stone of | 100% of payments | 100% of payments | TBD |

| | | | | | | | | |
|----|------|---|------------------------------|-----------------------|---|---|--|-----|
| | | Government's Finances Effectively | Results | | the payment process. Payments are created, certified, and submitted for processing. Percentage of payments made on time. | made on time. | made on time. | |
| 14 | 2010 | Manage the U.S. Government's Finances Effectively | Customer Results | Customer Satisfaction | Determine customer satisfaction of all Regional Financial Centers. Results determining satisfaction are measured by an annual survey during the 2nd Qtr of the fiscal year. | 91% of overall customer satisfaction. | 91% of overall customer satisfaction. | TBD |
| 15 | 2010 | Manage the U.S. Government's Finances Effectively | Processes and Activities | Security | Measure the number significant incidents reported. Significant incidents are defined in the SPS Incident Response Plan. These incidents can come in the form of some type of security attack. The goal is to have no significant incidents. | 100% of incidents reported are not significant. | 100% of incidents reported are not significant | TBD |
| 16 | 2010 | Manage the U.S. Government's Finances Effectively | Technology | Availability | Measure the amount of time (In hours) that the SPS application was not available during non-scheduled hours. | No baseline from previous year. | SPS available 80% of the time. | TBD |
| 17 | 2011 | Manage the U.S. Government's Finances Effectively | Mission and Business Results | Payments | SPS is the corner stone of the payment process. Payments are created, certified, and submitted for processing. Percentage of payments | 100% of payments made on time. | 100% of payments made on time. | TBD |

| | | | | | | | | |
|----|------|---|--------------------------|-----------------------|---|---|--|-----|
| | | | | | made on time. | | | |
| 18 | 2011 | Manage the U.S. Government's Finances Effectively | Customer Results | Customer Satisfaction | Determine customer satisfaction of all Regional Financial Centers. Results determining satisfaction are measured by an annual survey during the 2nd Qtr of the fiscal year. | 91% of overall customer satisfaction. | 91% of overall customer satisfaction. | TBD |
| 19 | 2011 | Manage the U.S. Government's Finances Effectively | Processes and Activities | Security | Measure the number significant incidents reported. Significant incidents are defined in the SPS Incident Response Plan. These incidents can come in the form of some type of security attack. The goal is to have no significant incidents. | 100% of incidents reported are not significant. | 100% of incidents reported are not significant | TBD |
| 20 | 2011 | Manage the U.S. Government's Finances Effectively | Technology | Availability | Measure the amount of time (In hours) that the SPS application was not available during non-scheduled hours. | No baseline from previous year. | SPS available 80% of the time. | TBD |

EA

In order to successfully address this area of the business case and capital asset plan you must ensure the investment is included in the agency's EA and Capital Planning and Investment Control (CPIC) process, and is mapped to and supports the FEA. You must also ensure the business case demonstrates the relationship between the investment and the business, performance, data, services, application, and technology layers of the agency's EA.

1. Is this investment included in your agency's target enterprise architecture?

yes

2. Is this investment included in the agency's EA Transition Strategy?

yes

2.a. If yes, provide the investment name as identified in the Transition Strategy provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment.

Secure Payment System (SPS)

3. Is this investment identified in a completed (contains a target architecture) and approved segment architecture?

yes

3.a. If yes, provide the name of the segment architecture as provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment.

Central Fiscal Operations Segment Architecture Roadmap (FMS)

4. Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to <http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/egov/>.

Component: Use existing SRM Components or identify as NEW. A NEW component is one not already identified as a service component in the FEA SRM.

Reused Name and UPI: A reused component is one being funded by another investment, but being used by this investment. Rather than answer yes or no, identify the reused service component funded by the other investment and identify the other investment using the Unique Project Identifier (UPI) code from the OMB Ex 300 or Ex 53 submission.

Internal or External Reuse?: Internal reuse is within an agency. For example, one agency within a department is reusing a service component provided by another agency within the same department. External reuse is one agency within a department reusing a service component provided by another agency in another department. A good example of this is an E-Gov initiative service being reused by multiple organizations across the federal government.

Funding Percentage: Please provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount used for each service component listed in the table. If external, provide the funding level transferred to another agency to pay for the service.

| | Agency Component Name | Agency Component Description | Service Type | Component | Reused Component Name | Reused UPI | Internal or External Reuse? | Funding % |
|---|-----------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| 1 | Payment/Settlement | Create payment schedules | Financial Management | Payment / Settlement | | | No Reuse | 100 |

5. To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.

FEA SRM Component: Service Components identified in the previous question should be entered in this column. Please enter multiple rows for FEA SRM Components supported by multiple TRM Service Specifications.

Service Specification: In the Service Specification field, Agencies should provide information on the specified technical standard or vendor product mapped to the FEA TRM Service Standard, including model or version numbers, as appropriate.

| | SRM Component | Service Area | Service Category | Service Standard | Service Specification (i.e., vendor and product name) |
|---|----------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| 1 | Payment / Settlement | Service Platform and Infrastructure | Software Engineering | Integrated Development Environment | |
| 2 | Payment / Settlement | Service Platform and Infrastructure | Software Engineering | Integrated Development Environment | |
| 3 | Payment / Settlement | Service Platform and Infrastructure | Software Engineering | Integrated Development Environment | |
| 4 | Payment / Settlement | Component Framework | Presentation / Interface | Content Rendering | |
| 5 | Payment / Settlement | Component Framework | Presentation / Interface | Static Display | |
| 6 | Payment / Settlement | Component Framework | Security | Certificates / Digital Signatures | |
| 7 | Payment / Settlement | Service Access and Delivery | Access Channels | Web Browser | |
| 8 | Payment / Settlement | Service Access and Delivery | Service Transport | Service Transport | |

| | | | | | |
|----|----------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| 9 | Payment / Settlement | Service Interface and Integration | Integration | Middleware | |
| 10 | Payment / Settlement | Service Interface and Integration | Interoperability | Data Format / Classification | |
| 11 | Payment / Settlement | Service Interface and Integration | Interoperability | Data Types / Validation | |
| 12 | Payment / Settlement | Service Platform and Infrastructure | Hardware / Infrastructure | Servers / Computers | |

6. Will the application leverage existing components and/or applications across the Government (i.e., FirstGov, Pay.Gov, etc)?

no

PART THREE

RISK

You should perform a risk assessment during the early planning and initial concept phase of the investment's life-cycle, develop a risk-adjusted life-cycle cost estimate and a plan to eliminate, mitigate or manage risk, and be actively managing risk throughout the investment's life-cycle.

Answer the following questions to describe how you are managing investment risks.

1. Does the investment have a Risk Management Plan?

yes

1.a. If yes, what is the date of the plan?

2007-05-22

1.b. Has the Risk Management Plan been significantly changed since last year's submission to OMB?

no

COST & SCHEDULE

1. Was operational analysis conducted?

yes

1.a. If yes, provide the date the analysis was completed.

2007-11-30

What were the results of your operational analysis?

The analysis provided strategic and business results that confirm the SPS application is still meeting the FMS goal of making payments timely and accurately and moving toward an all electronic Treasury for payments. SPS is a browser-based Internet application that is PKI enabled. The application is also still in close alignment with Treasury's goal of managing the Government's finances and making collections and payments electronically. SPS expands the use of the internet and promotes E -authentication by the use of digital signatures. SPS continues to meet its original objective which is to provide a mechanism by which government agencies can create payment schedules in a secure fashion using a browser and web interface. It also allows the regional financial centers a means to extract approved payment schedules for executing payment (e.g., check printing and electronic funds transfer). SPS supports the FMS GWA initiative by eliminating the vast majority of funds reclassifications thus improving the quality of customer services for the Federal Program Agencies. The SPS investment aligns with the FMS 'to be' architecture; is identified in the Central Fiscal Operations Segment Architecture Roadmap within the payments segment and aligns with the FEA Consolidated Reference Model's mappings for the SRM and the TRM. The fixed-price, performance-based operations and maintenance contract has remained within the variance threshold of 10% for both cost and schedule metrics. All performance goals have been met within the allowable time frame and with the required degree of accuracy. A user survey was distributed to the Deputy Directors of the FMS Regional Finance Centers who were asked to respond to the survey on behalf of their centers and customers. The survey asked users to provide detail on specific characteristics of the SPS application that they rated lower than average. Users were also encouraged to provide any comments in general. The results of the survey have been provided to SPS Management from the Regional Finance Centers. Each response represents the collective view of that RFC. Helpful and informative comments were included by some users. A copy of the Customer Survey Summarized responses with the comments is available in the SPS ProSight Resource Library. The criteria judged were: Ease of Use, Functionality, Timely, Security, Availability, Output Accuracy. All scores were either very satisfied or satisfied.

